UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,969	04/30/2007	Tohru Natsume	039371-17	8384
	7590 05/08/2009 RTS MLOTKOWSKI SAFRAN & COLE, P.C.		EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Department P.O. Box 10064 MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064			MONSHIPOURI, MARYAM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugher@rmsclaw.com dbeltran@rmsclaw.com bdiaz@rmsclaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/581,969	NATSUME ET AL.
Examiner-induced interview Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maryam Monshipouri	1656
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Maryam Monshipouri</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. T. W. Cole</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 April 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
WO2000/77255, 12/2000		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	e examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary		
(A	nnlicent/Annlicent's Democrated	ivo Signaturo di angranziata)
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive signature – ii appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner called applicant to object to the disclosure which appears to be hard to understand because not only is it a direct word for word translation of Japanese to English but at many pages there is no space between words of a sentence (see for example, page 3, paragraph [0008], line 4). Also the examiner cited the above art to applicant, which breakes the untity of invention and requested oral election. However, Mr. Cole indicated that appliant needs a written restriction letter. He also indicated that he will provide a substitute disclosure that can be better understood.